

Mrs. Todd Says She Got Her Husband Put on the Police and Got Him Promoted—Says She Wants to Provide Her Husband With a Home.

Florence M. Todd moved from Judge Nichols in the Court of Common Pleas yesterday for counsel fees and alimony in an action she has brought against Police Sergeant Orville A. Todd, Inspector Williams's staff for a year on the grounds of abandonment.

She and her husband, both from Hyde Park, Dutchess county, where they were married Nov. 21, 1878. Todd got out of work soon after the marriage and they lived at the residence of his parents. He played the organ in the Church of the Holy Trinity and was contrary in the choir of the Episcopal Church of St. James at the same time. She gave music lessons, and after that all her earnings were used to support the family until the fall of 1901, when her husband got on the police in this city. She says that he was entirely through her income on the grounds of abandonment.

He came to this city in December, 1879, and separated in 1881. Todd says his wife left him without his consent. Todd says he had become involved in debt at the time by his wife's extravagant habits. She went to live at Long Island, and he kept sending her money. She regularly sent him \$50 a month at his solicitation came back. He induced her aunt, Mrs. Elizabeth T. Good of Newburgh, to come her and take him and his wife and their three children, William, Orville, and Richard, to board. She went away again for about a year, and they finally separated in 1887. He says he has regularly sent her \$50 a month up to the last two months, when he sent \$20. She has been living at 120 West Ninety-fourth street, and says that her husband is a month in arrears.

She says that her husband is a month in arrears. She says that her husband is a month in arrears. She says that her husband is a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean could be induced to make a recommendation for him. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Todd was made a Sergeant in the summer of 1892, on motion of Charles F. MacLean. Todd says that MacLean was a month in arrears. She says that MacLean was a month in arrears.

Sailing Away,

both of them for pleasure,

with the chances for safety in

favor of the wash-

board. In fact, there isn't much

chance for safety until it's

gone. If you don't want your

clothes rubbed to pieces—

throw

it away.

Use

Pearline. That

washes without

harm. The

washboard wears

out while it's getting things

clean. It's rub and wear with-

out Pearline. It's hard work,

too. Pearline takes away the

rubbing and the work. No

use for either, it takes away

the dirt easily, quickly, cheap-

ly.

200 JAMES FYLE, New York.

EX-TELLER FARDON CAPTURED.

He Certified a Check When the Drawer Had No Balance in Bank.

Trenton, July 10.—Abram P. Fardon, formerly paying teller of the First National Bank of Trenton, was convicted this morning in the United States District Court of Trenton of

national banking law by certifying a check drawn by Richard V. Butler in favor of J. F. Wakely of New York for \$200.00, when Butler had no balance in the bank, and also by cer-

tifying the check without first having charged it to the account of Butler.

Fardon was immediately put on trial on another indictment accusing him of having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He had been in the bank's employ for twenty-eight years, and was a well-known cashier. He was tried last fall on a charge of embezzlement, but was acquitted. There are twenty-two indictments against him, and he is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds. He is charged with having embezzled \$10,000 of the bank's funds.

ROBERTS AND THE VETERAN.

THE COMPTROLLER WON'T PAY HIM HIS SALARY.

Secretary of State Palmer, Who Appointed the Clerk, Says He Will Mandamus Roberts—A Row Without Regard to the Governmental Ambitions of Either.

ALBANY, July 10.—There was a lively row between Secretary of State Palmer and Comptroller Roberts, aspirants for the chair now occupied by Gov. Flower, this afternoon. It took place in the office of Mr. Palmer. Both were very angry, and Mr. Palmer threatened to bring the difference which exists to the attention of the Supreme Court Judge Herrick, the ex-leader of the Albany County Democracy.

The trouble began a week ago. Secretary Palmer had appointed William H. Ewell of Rochester to a clerkship in his department at a salary of \$4 a day under the veteran civil service exemption law passed by the last Legislature.

When Ewell went to draw his salary for the month the Comptroller refused to pay, saying that the veteran should have his honorable discharge certified by the Civil Service Commission. Ewell went back to the Secretary's office and told Mr. Palmer, who waited a day or two and sent a letter demanding the pay for his appointee. The Comptroller did not answer the demand, and then this letter was sent to his office:

To Mr. James A. Roberts, Comptroller.

Dear Sir: On the 10th I notified you by personal letter that I had employed Mr. W. H. Ewell, a veteran, under the provisions of chapter 717, law of 1904. I also certified that he was an honorably discharged Union soldier. He has called at your office on the 10th and asked for his salary. You have refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.

In an opinion given by the Attorney-General, under date of June 1, 1904, on this case of appointment he says: "They are in no way amenable to the Civil Service Commission." The Comptroller, however, has refused to pay him. I am therefore compelled to bring this matter to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Yours very truly, J. A. PALMER, Secretary of State.